



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office

Concept House

Cardiff Road

Newport

South Wales

NP10 8QQ

01 JUL 2004

PCT

GBO4/2389

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

I also certify that the application is now proceeding in the name as identified herein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed *AmBrewster*

Dated 21 June 2004

**PRIORITY
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

GB 0313970.6

By virtue of a direction given under Section 30 of the Patents Act 1977, the application is proceeding in the name of:

SLIPALERT LLP,
3 Homefield Road,
RADLETT,
Hertfordshire,
WD7 8PX,
United Kingdom

Incorporated in the United Kingdom,

[ADP No. 08876096001]

no charge 1/77 + 7
£130 - 9/77.

Patents Form 1/77


Patents Act 1977

(Rule 16)

The
Patent

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



17JUN03 E815485-2 D02396
P01/7700 0.00-0313970.6

The Patent Office
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference 12103:SF

2. Patent application number 0313970.6
(The Patent Office will fill in this part) 117 JUN 2003

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of
each applicant (underline all surnames)

Radlett Consultants
3 Homefield Road
Radlett ACT
Herts WD7 8PX

APPLICATION FILED 7/4/03

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

8653677001

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the
country/state of its incorporation

United Kingdom

4. Title of the invention Surface Friction Testing Apparatus

5. Name of your agent (if you have one) Saunders & Dolleymore

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom
to which all correspondence should be sent
(including the postcode)

9 Rickmansworth Road
Watford
Hertfordshire WD18 0JU

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

1453001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more
earlier patent applications, give the country
and the date of filing of the or of each of these
earlier applications and (if you know it) the or
each application number

Country	Priority application number (if you know it)	Date of filing (day/month/year)
---------	---	------------------------------------

7. If this application is divided or otherwise
derived from an earlier UK application,
give the number and the filing date of
the earlier application

Number of earlier application	Date of filing (day/month/year)
-------------------------------	------------------------------------

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right
to grant a patent required in support of
this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an
applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
See note (d))

Yes

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	11
Claim(s)	2 3
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	3 + 3 RM

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and search (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents
(please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Saunders & Dolleymore

Signature

Saunders & Dolleymore

Date

16 June 2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom
- Stuart Fitchett
01923 238311

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Surface Friction Testing Apparatus

The present invention relates to surface friction testing apparatus for determining the coefficient of friction of a surface and particularly, but not exclusively, to a surface
5 friction testing apparatus for testing coefficient of friction of a pedestrian walkway. The apparatus though can equally be used for measuring the coefficient of friction of a roadway for vehicles.

With liability issues becoming more prevalent it is important that the coefficient of
10 friction of a pedestrian surface can be determined, with a relatively high degree of accuracy, when dry, and more importantly when wet.

Prior art friction testing devices have comprised powered trolleys, or trolleys arranged to be pulled across a surface, which trolleys have resistance material in contact
15 with the surface over which they travel. Such prior art trolleys may give satisfactory results in dry conditions but in wet conditions they fail to simulate the characteristics of the lubricating film under a pedestrians foot as it slips.

A trolley has been designed to compare but not measure the coefficient of friction of
20 artificial sports surfaces where the mass of the trolley is sufficient to reproduce the actual force between the heel and the ground, requiring a trolley weighing some 30 to 40 kilograms. This precludes the device from being portable, thus it finds limited application because it is normally necessary to transport the device to the surface to be tested, for

example to a pedestrian surface where a person is known to have slipped.

One piece of equipment that is portable is a device used by the British Transport and Road Research Laboratory, which device was primarily developed for testing the friction coefficient of roads. This gives accurate results for both wet and dry conditions and is now the British health and safety standard. The device comprises a tripod arrangement supporting a pendulum arranged to be released from a set height, the pendulum terminating in a pad of friction material arranged to contact the surface to be tested at the bottom of the pendulum swing over a specific length of contact (ie 125mm). The height to which the pendulum rises is representative of the friction coefficient of the surface, the greater the height the lower the coefficient of friction. Although this device is relatively portable, it requires both a considerable amount of time and experience to set it up, requiring that the tripod be perfectly level and correctly spaced from the ground. It also requires that the ground. The coefficient of friction given by this machine is often referred to as the slip resistance.

The object of the present invention is to provide portable surface friction testing apparatus which is easy to transport, set up and operate.

According to the present invention there is provided portable surface friction testing apparatus for determining the coefficient of friction of a surface, the apparatus consisting of a body, the underside of which is fitted with at least one slider to induce friction between the body and the test surface as the body moves across the test surface, and means of

propelling that body to a predetermined initial velocity at the commencement of a test run over which test run the coefficient of friction of the surface is determined by reference to the distance required to cause the at least one slider to bring the body to a standstill.

- 5 Note that under deceleration the force on the slider will depend on the precise position of the centre of gravity of the trolley (both vertically and horizontally) and the degree of deceleration.

10 The present invention provides a relatively simple device whereby the coefficient of friction can be determined from the distance travelled during the test run of the body. The body decelerating during the test run produces slippage characteristics similar to those experienced by a pedestrian foot as it commences a slip.

15 Preferably, the body is in the form of a trolley comprising wheels in contact with the ground for providing, together with the at least one slider, directional stability to the trolley, the wheels and at least one slider all positioned such that the force between the at least one slider and the surface can be determined and remains constant under any particular value of uniform deceleration.

20 Advantageously, the trolley is arranged to have two wheels in contact with the ground during the test run, with a single slider forming the third point of contact with the ground. Having three points of contact ensures mass on each is uniform during the test run even if the test run is uneven.

Advantageously, the means for propelling the trolley comprises a ramp of known incline and length. The ramp may be collapsible to assist in transport and preferably comprises a stop at its upper end against which the trolley is held prior to release. The ramp and trolley are pre-calibrated such that velocity of the trolley is known at the point
5 from which it commences its test run, when the slider comes into contact with the surface under test.

The trolley preferably comprises at least one addition wheel wherein the ramp and trolley are arranged such that the at least one addition wheel supports the trolley on the
10 ramp during the period in which the trolley accelerates down the ramp, but wherein the mass on the at least one wheel is transferred to the slider at the commencement of the test run. This ensures that the acceleration of the trolley is not affected by any change in coefficient of friction that may otherwise exist between the slider and the ramp if these were in contact.

15

Advantageously, the trolley is propelled during the test run only by the initial kinetic energy of the trolley until the trolley comes to rest, the distance travelled during the test run being indicative of the coefficient of friction of the surface over which the trolley has travelled. This is particularly advantageous for the result will correspond to an average
20 for the area over which the trolley has travelled. Thus, the apparatus in accordance with the invention provides more reliable results on surfaces where the coefficient of friction may not be uniform over the surface.

Preferably, the coefficient of friction of the slider, the mass acting on the slider, the speed at commencement of the test run and dimensions of the slider in contact with the surface under test are selected such that, when the test surface is wet, the hydrodynamic critical film thickness developed is in the range of 1 to 3 μm . More specifically, the

5 hydrodynamic critical film thickness developed is preferably in the range of 1.5 to 2.5 μm , and even more specifically in the range of 1.9 to 2.1 μm .

Advantageously, the apparatus comprises means for determining the distance travelled by the trolley and for automatically calculating from this the coefficient of friction

10 for the test run.

Preferably, the apparatus comprises a look up table or graph for determining the coefficient of friction from the distance travelled, and the trolley determines the distance travelled and displays the corresponding coefficient of friction.

15

It has been found particularly advantageous if a trolley has two wheels in contact with the ground during the test run. Two wheels preferably being on a common axis and locked together, for this further improves directional stability.

20 Preferably, the mass of the trolley is less than 6 kg thereby providing a portable device.

The present invention provides for portable friction testing apparatus employing a

relatively light weight trolley. In dry conditions with simple 'couloumb' friction, reducing the mass of trolley would have little or no effect on the results since the value of μ is independent of R in the standard friction equation

5

$$\mu = F/R$$

where F is the frictional force acting along the surface and R is the vertical reaction force between the sliding body and surface, μ being the coefficient of friction. However, when the surface is wet and thus a lubricant is involved, as in wet pedestrian slipping, which is an area of particular interest, μ is no longer independent of R.

The invention, unlike all previous devices for measuring wet pedestrian slipping, recognises that the key factor in scaling from the full sized non-portable device down to a light portable device is that the characteristics of the hydrodynamic lubricating film of the full sized device should be accurately reproduced in the small sized device. If, and only if, these characteristics are reproduced will the model give identical results to that of the full sized version, in this case a pedestrian as he slips over the range of surfaces likely to be tested.

20

In order to achieve this, the characteristics of the lubricating film can be produced only if what is known as the 'critical film thickness' is the same in both the model and the full sized event, ie a slipping pedestrian. This 'critical film thickness' is a standard concept in lubrication but has never until now been applied to the design of pedestrian slip test

device.

The critical film thickness, h_{cr} , is given by the following equation

$$h_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{6\eta ul K\epsilon K\rho}{P_{av}}}$$

5 where:

η = viscosity of the liquid (in the case of water $1 \times 10^3 \text{ N/m}^2$)

u = relative velocity of the two surfaces

l = length of the film formed

b = breadth of the film

10 $K\epsilon$ = a factor dependant on the b/l ratio which affects the load carrying capacity of the film due to side leakage

K_p = a factor which depends on the geometry of the film wedge but which is taken as 0.025 in the case of pedestrian slipping

P_{av} = the average pressure of the film = $F/b1$

15 F = the total vertical force on the film (eg the vertical force on the slider)

The way that the lubricating film works is to provide an uplift to the sliding body thus reducing its contact with the underlying surface and thus the friction between the two. In essence, if the 'model' has a critical film thickness which is much lower than that for a slipping pedestrian it will only experience an uplift on very smooth surfaces and thus give a low value for μ for those surfaces. While it will indicate that these are dangerous for a pedestrian, it will suggest that a range of not quite so smooth surfaces are quite safe even through they in practice are such as to cause a pedestrian to slip over. Virtually all known

slip test devices suffer from this.

In contrast to the above, if a model has too high a value of critical film thickness, it will experience an uplift over far too wide a range of surfaces, those at the rougher end may well in practice be relatively safe for pedestrians. It is therefore important that the critical film thickness of the model or test machine matches reasonably closely that of a slipping pedestrian.

One embodiment of the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying figures, of which:

Figure 1 is a perspective view of a trolley in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 2 is a side elevation of a trolley of Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a side elevation of a trolley of Figures 1 and 2 shown positioned on a ramp in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 4 is a cross section through the III-III of Figure 3; and

Figure 5 is graph of μ versus the distance of travel of the trolley in accordance with invention prepared based on theoretical dynamics and checked using the test results using the British standard pendulum device described in British standard BS 7976.

Referring to Figure 1, a 5 kg trolley indicated generally as 1 comprises a main body 2, wheels 3 to 6, a handle 7, electronics pack 8, a display 9 showing the distance travelled an ON/OFF switch and indicator lights 11, one of which lights up when the trolley is correctly at the point of return at the top of the ramp.

As shown in Figure 2, the trolley additionally comprises a slider 12 spaced from the trolley by spacer 13 and held in place by screw-fixing 14. The slider 12 is composed of four S rubber disclosed in British standard BS 7976, which is herein incorporated by way of reference.

5

The apparatus in accordance with the invention additionally comprises a ramp, indicated generally as 15, the ramp 15 comprising an inclined portion 16 having a length L of 900 mm and a leg 17 arranged such that the front face of stop 18 intersects the incline at a height 'H' of 200 mm. The ramp 15 is hinged by hinges 19 and 20 such that the incline
10 16 may be folded in half and the leg 17 folded flush with the incline to assist transportation.

The front wheels 3 and 6 of the trolley 1 are linked together on a common axle (not shown) such as to maintain directional stability of the trolley. A sensor on the axle (not shown) additionally provides information to the electronic module 8 relating to the distance
15 travelled.

In operation, the ramp is erected as shown in Figure 3 and the trolley pulled to the top of the ramp such that the rear face of the trolley is against the stop 18. At this point a sensor 21 detects that the trolley is at the top of the ramp and transmits this to the
20 electronics module 8 and one of the indicator lamps 11.

The trolley is released from its starting point, and free wheels on wheels 3 to 6 to the bottom of the ramp. During the decent of the ramp, the slider 12 is supported by the

rear wheels 5 running on the rails of the incline 16. The mass of the trolley is 5 kg and the trolley reaches a velocity of 2 m/sec. This commences the test run as the slider comes into contact with ground.

5 During the test run the trolley travels until its kinetic energy is depleted, with the primary deceleration force resulting from the slider 14 being in contact with the surface 22 for which the coefficient of friction is to be determined. The electronic module indicates the total distance travelled from the top of the ramp to when the trolley comes to rest; the corresponding coefficient of friction for the test surface is identified from the graph shown
10 in Figure 5.

Figure 5 is based on the formula derived from dynamic theory such that

$$s = u^2 / 2 \mu (a - b\mu)g$$

15

where s = distance to come to rest

u = initial velocity

l = horizontal distance from front axle to slider contact position

μ = coefficient of friction

20 a = horizontal distance from front axle to centre of gravity of trolley

b = height of centre of gravity above test surface

g = acceleration due to gravity

The mass of the slider, initially velocity, surface area and friction properties of the slider 12 are selected such that the average critical film thickness on a wet surface is in the range of 1.9 to 2.1 μm .

5 In a practical example, the critical film thickness
using N, m, sec units

$$h_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{\eta u l^2 b K_s K_p}{F}}$$

10
$$= \sqrt{\frac{6 \times (1 \times 10^{-3}) \times 1.0 \times (4.5 \times 10^{-3})^2 \times (40 \times 10^{-3}) \times 0.95 \times 0.025}{3 \times 9.81}} = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

One embodiment of the invention has been described above, however various alternative embodiments and modifications will be apparent to one skilled in the art, which are within the scope of the appended claims.

Claims

1. Portable surface friction testing apparatus for determining the coefficient of friction of a surface, the apparatus consisting of a body, the underside of which is fitted with at least one slider to induce friction between the body and the test surface as the body moves across the test surface, and means of propelling that body to a predetermined initial velocity at the commencement of a test run, over which test run the coefficient of friction of the surface is determined by reference to the distance required to cause the at least one slider to bring the body to a standstill.

10

2. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 1, wherein the body is in the form of a trolley comprising wheels in contact with the ground for providing, together with the at least one slider, directional stability to the trolley, the wheels and at least one slider all positioned such that the force between the at least one slider and the surface can be determined and remains constant under any particular value of uniform deceleration.

15

3. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 2, where the trolley is arranged to have two wheels in contact with the ground during the test run, with a single slider forming the third point of contact with the ground.

20

4. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 2 or 3, wherein the means for propelling the trolley comprises a ramp of known incline and length.

5. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 4, wherein the trolley comprises at least one additional wheel, wherein the ramp and trolley are arranged such that the at least one additional wheel supports the trolley on the ramp during a period in which the trolley accelerates down the ramp, but wherein the mass on the at least one wheel is transferred to
5 the at least one slider at commencement of the test run.

6. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 2, 3, 4 or 5, wherein the trolley comprises two wheels arranged to be in contact with test surface during a test run, the two wheels being on a common axis and locked together to improve direction stability.

10

7. Apparatus as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the body is propelled during the test run only by the initial kinetic energy of the body until the body comes to rest, the distance travelled during the test run being indicative of the coefficient of friction of the surface over which the body has travelled.

15

8. Apparatus as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the at least one slider is a plastics or rubber material.

9. Apparatus as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the dimensions of the at least
20 one slider, the force on the slider and the speed of commencement of the test run are selected such that when the test surface is wet the hydro-dynamic critical film thickness developed is in the range of 1 to 3 μm .

10. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 9, wherein the hydro-dynamic critical film thickness developed is in the range 1.5 to 2.5 μm .

11. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 10, wherein the hydro-dynamic critical film
5 thickness developed is in the range of 1.9 to 2.1 μm .

12. Apparatus as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the apparatus comprises means for determining the distance travelled by the body.

10 13. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 12, wherein the distance is automatically used to calculate the coefficient of friction for the test surface.

14. Apparatus as claimed in Claim 13, comprising a look-up table or graph for determining the coefficient of friction corresponding to the distance travelled by the body.

15

15. Apparatus as claimed in any one of Claims 12, 13 or 14, wherein the body determines and displays the coefficient of friction.

16. Apparatus as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the mass of the body is less
20 than 6 kg.


17. Surface friction testing apparatus, substantially as hereinbefore described, with reference to, and/or as illustrated in one or more of the accompanying figures.

Abstract**Surface Friction Testing Apparatus**

5 Surface testing friction apparatus comprises a trolley (1) and an inclined ramp (15).
The trolley and ramp are arranged such that a slider (12) on the trolley comes into contact
with the surface (22) during a test run over a surface, the friction coefficient of which is to
be determined, the mass of the trolley (1) length (L) and a height (H) of the ramp (15) are
selected such that for a slider of given properties, materials and dimensions the critical film
10 thickness for a wet surface is approximately $2\mu\text{m}$.

[Figure 1]

—



2/3






Fig 4.



3/3

Figure 5



PCT/GB2004/002389

